Blending and Compositing

Computational Photography
Yuxiong Wang, University of Illinois
Last class: finding boundaries

- **Intelligent scissors**
  - Good boundary has a low-cost path from seed to cursor
  - Low cost = edge, high gradient, right orientation

- **GrabCut**
  - Good region is similar to foreground color model and dissimilar from background color
  - Good boundaries have a high gradient
  - Optimize over both
Take-home questions

1. What would be the result in “Intelligent Scissors” if all of the edge costs were set to 1?

2. How could you change boundary costs for graph cuts to work better for objects with many thin parts?
Last Class: cutting out objects
This Class

How do I put an object from one image into another?
Image Compositing

Some slides from Efros/Seitz
News Composites

Original

“Enhanced” Version

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2010/sep/16/mubarak-doctored-red-carpet-picture
News Composites

Original

“Enhanced” Version

Walski, LA Times, 2003
Three methods

1. Cut and paste
2. Laplacian pyramid blending
3. Poisson blending
Method 1: Cut and Paste
Method 1: Cut and Paste

Problems:
• Small segmentation errors noticeable
• Pixels are too blocky
Method 1: Cut and Paste

Problems:
- Small segmentation errors noticeable
- Pixels are too blocky
- Won’t work for semi-transparent materials
Feathering

Near object boundary pixel values come partly from foreground and partly from background
Method 1: Cut and Paste (with feathering)
Alpha compositing

\[
\text{Output} = \text{foreground} \times \text{mask} + \text{background} \times (1 - \text{mask})
\]
Alpha compositing with feathering

Output = foreground*mask + background*(1-mask)
Another example (without feathering)

Mattes

Composite

Composite by David Dewey
Proper blending is key
Alpha Blending / Feathering

\[ I_{\text{blend}} = \alpha I_{\text{left}} + (1-\alpha)I_{\text{right}} \]
Effect of Window Size

![Diagram showing the effect of window size on left and right sides. The diagram illustrates the relationship between the input and output, showing how the window size affects the overall result.]
Effect of Window Size
Good Window Size

“Optimal” Window: smooth but not ghosted
How much should we blend?
Method 2: Pyramid Blending

- At low frequencies, blend slowly
- At high frequencies, blend quickly
Method 2: Pyramid Blending

Burt and Adelson 1983
Laplacian Pyramid Blending

Implementation:
1. Build Laplacian pyramids for each image
2. Build a Gaussian pyramid of region mask
3. Blend each level of pyramid using region mask from the same level
   \[ L_{12}^i = L_1^i \cdot R^i + L_2^i \cdot (1 - R^i) \]
   - Image 1 at level \( i \) of Laplacian pyramid
   - Region mask at level \( i \) of Gaussian pyramid
   - Pointwise multiply
4. Collapse the pyramid to get the final blended image

Burt and Adelson 1983
Simplification: Two-band Blending

- Brown & Lowe, 2003
  - Only use two bands: high freq. and low freq.
  - Blends low freq. smoothly
  - Blend high freq. with no smoothing: use binary alpha
2-band Blending

Low frequency

High frequency
Linear Blending
2-band Blending
Blending Regions
Related idea: Poisson Blending

A good blend should preserve gradients of source region without changing the background

Perez et al. 2003
Related idea: Poisson Blending

A good blend should preserve gradients of source region without changing the background

Project 3!

Perez et al. 2003
Method 3: Poisson Blending

A good blend should preserve gradients of source region without changing the background

Treat pixels as variables to be solved

– Minimize squared difference between gradients of foreground region and gradients of target region

– Keep background pixels constant

\[
v = \arg\min_v \sum_{i \in S, j \in N_i \cap S} ((v_i - v_j) - (s_i - s_j))^2 + \sum_{i \in S, j \in N_i \cap -S} ((v_i - t_j) - (s_i - s_j))^2
\]

Perez et al. 2003
Example

Gradient Visualization

Source: Evan Wallace
Specify object region

Source: Evan Wallace
Gradient-domain editing

Creation of image = least squares problem in terms of: 1) pixel intensities; 2) differences of pixel intensities

$$\hat{v} = \arg \min_v \sum_i \left( a_i^T v - b_i \right)^2$$

$$\hat{v} = \arg \min_v \left( A v - b \right)^2$$

Use Python least-squares solvers for numerically stable solution with sparse $A$ (e.g. scipy.sparse.linalg.lsqr)
Examples

1. Line-fitting: $y = mx + b$
Examples

2. Gradient domain processing

\[ \mathbf{v} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{v}} \sum_{i \in S, j \in N_i \cap S} ((v_i - v_j) - (s_i - s_j))^2 + \sum_{i \in S, j \in N_i \cap \bar{S}} ((v_i - t_j) - (s_i - s_j))^2 \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>source image</th>
<th>background image</th>
<th>target image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Other results

Perez et al. 2003
What do we lose?

- Foreground color changes
- Background pixels in target region are replaced

Perez et al. 2003
Blending with Mixed Gradients

- Use foreground or background gradient with larger magnitude as the guiding gradient

Perez et al. 2003
Project 3: Gradient Domain Editing

General concept: Solve for pixels of new image that satisfy constraints on the gradient and the intensity

– Constraints can be from one image (for filtering) or more (for blending)
Project 3: Reconstruction from Gradients

1. Preserve x-y gradients
2. Preserve intensity of one pixel

Source pixels: \( s \)
Variable pixels: \( v \)

1. minimize \( (v(x+1,y)-v(x,y) - (s(x+1,y)-s(x,y)))^2 \)
2. minimize \( (v(x,y+1)-v(x,y) - (s(x,y+1)-s(x,y)))^2 \)
3. minimize \( (v(1,1)-s(1,1))^2 \)
Project 3 (extra): Color2Gray

rgb2gray

Gradient-domain editing
Project 3 (extra): NPR

- Preserve gradients on edges
  - e.g., get canny edges with `edge(im, 'canny')`
- Reduce gradients not on edges
- Preserve original intensity

Perez et al. 2003
Colorization using optimization

• Solve for uv channels such that similar intensities have similar colors

• Minimize squared color difference, weighted by intensity similarity

\[ J(U) = \sum_r \left( U(r) - \sum_{s \in \mathcal{N}(r)} w_{rs} U(s) \right)^2 \]

• Solve with sparse linear system of equations

http://www.cs.huji.ac.il/~yweiss/Colorization/
Things to remember

• Three ways to blend/composite
  1. Alpha compositing
     • Need nice cut (intelligent scissors)
     • Should feather
  2. Laplacian pyramid blending
     • Smooth blending at low frequencies, sharp at high frequencies
     • Usually used for stitching
  3. Gradient domain editing
     • Also called Poisson Editing
     • Explicit control over what to preserve
     • Changes foreground color (for better or worse)
     • Applicable for many things besides blending
Take-home questions

1) I am trying to blend this bear into this pool. What problems will I have if I use:
   a) Alpha compositing with feathering
   b) Laplacian pyramid blending
   c) Poisson editing?
Take-home questions

2) How would you make a sharpening filter using gradient domain processing? What are the constraints on the gradients and the intensities?
Next class

• Image warping: affine, projective, rotation, etc.